	1 2 3	SNYDER • DORENFELD, LLP 5010 Chesebro Road Agoura Hills, California 91301 Telephone: (818) 865-4000 Facsimile: (818) 865-4010 Bradley A. Snyder, State Bar No.: 10864 David K. Dorenfeld, State Bar No.: 1450	-3 -56	
	5 6 7 8	LABOWE, LABOWE & HOFFMAN, LLP 1631 W. Beverly Blvd., 2nd Floor Los Angeles, CA 90026 Telephone: (213) 250-9800 Facsimile: (213) 975-1145 Mark S. Hoffman, State Bar No.:108400		
	9 10	Attorneys for Plaintiff		
	11	WATTIEW WOOKE		
	12	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
LP	13	FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES		
SNYDER + DORENFELD, LLP	14			
ORENF	15	MATTHEW MOORE,	Case No.:	
₩ •	16	Plaintiff	COMPLAINT	
SNYD]	17	vs.	1. Intentional Infliction of	
	18	ELAINE JONES, M.D.; TORRANCE	Emotional Distress	
	19	HEALTH ASSOCIATION, INC.;) TORRANCE MEMORIAL PHYSICIAN) NETWORK; and DOES 1 through 10,)	2. Libel	
	20	Defendants.		
	21	<u> </u>		
	22			
	23			
	24	COME NOW Plaintiff MATTHEW MOORE and alleges as follows: GENERAL ALLEGATIONS		
	25			
	26			
	27			
	28	1. Plaintiff MATTHEW MOORE (hereinafter "Plaintiff") is an individual		
		COMPLAINT		

who was at all times relevant hereto a resident of the County of Los Angeles, 2 State of California, 3 4 2. The Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that 5 Defendant ELAINE JONES, M.D. ("Jones") was and is a medical doctor and a 6 resident of the County of Los Angeles, State of California. 7 8 The Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that 3. 9 Defendant TORRANCE HEALTH ASSOCIATION, INC. ("THAI") is a corporation 10 organized and existing under and by virtue of the laws of the State of California. 11 12 The Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that 4. 13 Defendant TORRANCE MEMORIAL PHYSICIAN NETWORK ("TMPN") is a 14 business entity, the form of which is unknown. 15 16 The Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that 5. 17 Defendants named herein as DOES 1 through 10 are in some manner 18 responsible for the events and wrongful conduct described herein, and are liable 19 to the Plaintiff for the damages that the Plaintiff has incurred. The true names 20 and capacities of said Defendants, whether individual, corporate, associate, or 21 otherwise, are unknown to the Plaintiff, who therefore sues said Defendants by 22 such fictitious names. The Plaintiff will amend this Complaint to show their true 23 names and capacities when same have been ascertained. 24 25 The Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that б. 26 Defendants, and each of them, were the agents, servants, and co-conspirators of 27 each of the remaining Defendants, and at all times mentioned herein, were acting 28 within the knowledge of one another within the purpose, scope and course of

their agency, service, employment and conspiracy with the express and/or implied knowledge, permission and consent of the remaining Defendants and approved the acts of one or more of the other Defendants.

- 7. Beginning in April of 2013 the Plaintiff was a patient of Jones who was an agent, employee or associate of THAI and TMPN. The Plaintiff's treatment with Jones was related to routine medical issues, none of which involved the Plaintiff's sexual orientation, state of mind or psychiatric issues. After the Plaintiff's initial treatment, and sometime in May of 2013, the Plaintiff learned that Jones had recorded and published defamatory information regarding the Plaintiff by writing and publishing for disclosure to others that the Plaintiff was suffering from a loathsome disease, to wit, homosexuality. This publication was made utilizing not only the words that the Plaintiff suffered from a chronic condition of homosexuality, but used codes that are known and read by those who viewed the materials that the Plaintiff suffered from a chronic disease, to wit, homosexuality.
- 8. In and around June of 2013, the Plaintiff became aware of these publications and confronted the Defendants regarding the defamatory conduct. The Defendants, and each of them, immediately agreed to retract the defamatory statements and made public representations that such retraction would occur. The Plaintiff, in reliance on the Defendants' promise of retraction and upon written confirmation of the promise of retraction, elected to not pursue a legal remedy arising from the defamatory conduct.
- 9. It was not until May of 2014 that the Plaintiff learned that in fact the Defendants had not retracted the statements, but had allowed the defamatory content to remain in its records for publication and consumption. Thereafter,

27

28

the Plaintiff again brought the foregoing to the attention of the Defendants who then engaged in a pattern of deceit and medical record doctoring to attempt to establish that they had earlier removed and retracted the defamatory content when in fact they had not.

10. As a further direct and proximate result of the conduct of Defendants, and each of them, the Plaintiff has experienced anger and frustration which has resulted in feelings of mental suffering, anxiety, humiliation and emotional distress all to his general damage and detriment in an amount in excess of the jurisdictional limit of this Court and in a sum to be determined at the time of trial.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress Against All Defendants

- Plaintiff hereby refers to and incorporates herein by reference all prior and subsequent paragraphs of this Complaint, as though set forth in full.
- 12. The actions of Defendants, and each of them, in making the outrageous comments and purposefully failing to remove the offensive language in the Plaintiff's medical records were done with the intent to cause or were done with reckless disregard of the probability that the Plaintiff would suffer emotional distress. The conduct of Defendants, and each of them, went beyond all bounds of that which is usually tolerated in this enlightened community.
- 13. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' malicious and oppressive acts as set forth herein, the Plaintiff is entitled to recover punitive

damages in an amount to be determined at the time of trial.

- 18
- 20

23

24

25

26 27

28

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

Libel

Against All Defendants

- Plaintiff hereby refers to and incorporates herein by reference all prior and subsequent paragraphs of this Complaint, as though set forth in full.
- 15. On April 18, 2013 and May 16, 2013, the Defendants printed, published and made the Plaintiff's medical records containing the aforereferenced offensive comments available to employees of the Defendants and others. The comments are false in that homosexuality is not a "problem". The references clearly exposed the Plaintiff to hatred, contempt, ridicule and obloquy. The comments were read by several persons including but not limited to the author of the apology letter as well as those who received copies of the apology The person who typed the entries into the Plaintiff's medical records letter. should have realized that the comments were obviously false and grossly libelous. The Defendants published the comments either knowing that they were false or with reckless disregard for whether they were true. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' acts as set forth herein, the Plaintiff has suffered harm to his reputation, shame mortification and hurt feelings in a compensable amount in excess of the jurisdictional limit of this Court.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for judgment against all Defendants, and each of them, as follows:

- 1. For general damages according to proof;
- 2. For special damages according to proof;

	1	3. For punitive damages according to proof;	
	2	4. For costs of suit incurred herein; 5. For such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.	
	3		
	4		
	5	Dated: July, 2014 SNYDER ♦ DORENFELD, LLP	
	6		
	7	Ву:	
	8	BRADLEY A. SIMMER DAVID K. DORENFELD	
	9	Attorneys for Plaintiff MATTHEW MOORE	
d I I of ESTIMATION A GONTANA	10		
	11		
	12		
	13		
	14		
	15		
	16		
	ž 17		
	18		
	19		
	20		
	21		
	22		
	23		
	24		
	25		
	26		
	27		
	28		
		-6-	
		COMPLAINT	